Synchro LOS Summary Research Forest Drive and Grogans Mill Road Alternatives Date: 2019-10-10

	2030 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive														
		AM Peak Hour							PM Peak Hour						
	Overall De	verall Delay (sec) E/W Roadway				N/S Roadway Residual Capacity C		Overall De	Overall Delay (sec) E/W E		Roadway	N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity	
Alternatives	& L	os	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F	& L(OS	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F	
Conventional (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	43.1	D	6	118	4	94		44.9	D	6	118	4	94		
Quadrant Roadway SW (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	35.4	D	6	130	4	70		39.1	D	6	130	4	70		
Partial Displaced LT N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	27.1	С	6	118	4	126		22.2	С	6	118	4	126		
Median U-Turn N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	28.5	С	6	106	4	106		34.5	С	6	106	4	106		
Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	38.7	D	4	124	4	94		36.3	D	4	124	4	94		
Diverging Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	19.6	В	4	124	4	80		14.3	В	4	124	4	80		

2045 w/2030 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour E/W Roadway N/S Roadway # of Thru Lanes Approach Width (ft) # of Thru Lanes Approach Width (ft) E/W Roadway N/S Roadway # of Thru Lanes Approach Width (ft) # of Thru Lanes Approach Width (ft) Overall Delay (sec) & LOS Residual Capacity to LOS F Overall Delay (sec) & LOS Residual Capacity Alternatives to LOS F Conventional (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) 62.4 50.5 D Quadrant Roadway SW (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) 40.5 D 130 47.5 D 130 Partial Displaced LT N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) 36.3 D 126 25.9 126 ---D Median U-Turn N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) 29.1 106 38.1 106 106 94 Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) D 124 124 94 36.6 15.4 124 124 41.7 -------Diverging Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR) 21.3 80 80 В

2045 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive																
		AM Peak Hour								PM Peak Hour						
	Overall De	verall Delay (sec) E/W Roadway			N/S I	Roadway	Residual Capacity	acity Overall Delay (sec)		E/W Roadway		N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity		
Alternatives	& L0)S	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F	& L0)S	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F		
Conventional (8-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	43.0	D	8	142	4	94	20%	46.1	D	8	142	4	94	20%		
Quadrant Roadway SW (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	35.4	D	6	130	4	106	40%	39.5	D	6	130	4	106	25%		
Partial Displaced LT N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	25.0	С	6	130	4	138	35%	21.9	С	6	130	4	138	30%		
Median U-Turn N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	28.3	С	6	106	4	106	60%	37.7	D	6	106	4	106	25%		
Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	39.1	D	6	148	4	94	60%	38.2	D	6	148	4	94	65%		
Diverging Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	22.1	С	6	148	4	80	60%	17.5	В	6	148	4	80	65%		

Synchro LOS Summary Research Forest Drive and Grogans Mill Road Alternatives Date: 2019-10-10

2030 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive																
		AM Peak Hour								PM Peak Hour						
	Overall De	Overall Delay (sec) E/W Roadway			N/S	Roadway	Residual Capacity Overall Delay (sec)		E/W Roadway		N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity			
Alternatives	& L(OS	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F	& L0)S	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F		
Conventional (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	46.2	D	4	94	4	94		69.5	E	4	94	4	94			
Partial Displaced LT N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	36.1	D	4	106	4	138		34.7	С	4	106	4	138			
Median U-Turn E-W (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	40.8	D	4	106	4	82		57.9	E	4	106	4	82			
Diamond N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	33.8	С	4	124	4	106		37.1	D	4	124	4	106			
Single Point N-S (4-Iane LWD, 4-Iane GMR)	30.8	С	4	124	4	94		30.8	С	4	124	4	94			

2045 w/2030 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive

				AM Pea	k Hour		PM Peak Hour							
	Overall De	Overall Delay (sec) E/W Roadway		N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity	Overall Delay (sec)		E/W Roadway		N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity	
Alternatives	& L	OS	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F	& L	OS	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F
Conventional (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	67.9	E	4	94	4	94		90.4	F	4	94	4	94	
Partial Displaced LT N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	50.1	D	4	106	4	138		44.7	D	4	106	4	138	
Median U-Turn E-W (4-Iane LWD, 4-Iane GMR)	53.1	D	4	106	4	82		62.8	E	4	106	4	82	
Diamond N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	36.0	D	4	124	4	106		38.2	D	4	124	4	106	
Single Point N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	32.2	С	4	124	4	94		33.0	С	4	124	4	94	

	2045 Alternatives Synchro LOS - Research Forest Drive															
		AM Peak Hour								PM Peak Hour						
	Overall De	elay (sec)	E/W	Roadway	N/S	Roadway	Residual Capacity	Overall De	lay (sec)	E/W	Roadway	N/S Roadway		Residual Capacity		
Alternatives	& L	OS	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F & LOS		# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	# of Thru Lanes	Approach Width (ft)	to LOS F			
Conventional (6-lane LWD, 6-lane GMR)	32.5	С	6	118	6	118	30%	43.7	D	6	118	6	118	20%		
Partial Displaced LTN S (6 Jano LWD, 6 Jano GMP)	26.5	C	6	120	6	162	55%	22.1	C	6	120	6	162	55%		
Partial Displaced ET N-3 (0-latie EWD, 0-latie Givik)	20.3	U	0	150	0	102	3370	22.1	U	U	150	0	102	JJ %		
Median U-Turn E-W (6-Iane LWD, 6-Iane GMR)	30.5	С	6	130	6	106	50%	47.2	D	6	130	6	106	20%		
Diamond N-S (4-lane LWD, 6-lane GMR)	31.6	с	6	148	6	130	70%	34.9	С	6	148	6	130	40%		
Single Point N-S (4-Iane LWD, 4-Iane GMR)	32.7	с	6	148	4	94	50%	33.5	С	6	148	4	94	35%		

Alternative Pro/Con Research Forest Drive and Lake Woodlands Drive Corridor Study Date: 2020-12-16

Alternatives Comp	oarsion - Rese	earch Forest	Drive 2045 C	perations
	Overall Intersection Area Delay	Individual LOS E/F Movements	Residual Capacity	Alternative Notes
Existing Geometry (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS D/LOS E	5 - AM Peak 8 - PM Peak	0%	Existing intersection geometry fails at 2045 horizon year.
Conventional Expansion (<u>8-Iane RFD</u> , 4-Iane GMR)	LOS D/LOS D	3 - AM Peak 4 - PM Peak	20%	Poor turning operations, requires <u>8-lane RFD</u> to not have overall interseciton failure.
Quadrant Roadway SW (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS D/LOS D	4- AM Peak 4- PM Peak	25%	Overall operations acceptable, all left-turn operate at effective LOS E/F.
Partial Displaced LT N-S (6-Iane RFD, 4-Iane GMR)	LOS C/LOS C	0 - AM Peak 0- PM Peak	30%	Best operations of at-grade alternatives with largest footprint and access impacts.
Median U-Turn N-S (6-Iane RFD, 4-Iane GMR)	LOS C/LOS D	4- AM Peak 4- PM Peak	25%	Overall operations acceptable, all left-turns operate at effective LOS E/F.
Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS D/LOS D	0 - AM Peak 1- PM Peak	60%	Provides LOS D operations with one LOS E movement. Has significant residual capacity.
Diverging Diamond N-S (6-lane RFD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS C/LOS B	0 - AM Peak 0- PM Peak	60%	Operates at LOS B/C and provides significant residual capacity.

Alternatives Comp	Alternatives Comparsion - Lake Woodlands Drive 2045 Operations								
		Individual							
	Overall Intersection Area Delay	LOS E/F Movements	Residual Capacity	Reason for Recommendation					
Existing Geometry (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS F/LOS F	6 - AM Peak 9 - PM Peak	0%	Existing intersection geometry fails at 2045 horizon year.					
Conventional (<u>6-lane LWD</u> , 6-lane GMR)	LOS C/LOS D	4 - AM Peak 4 - PM Peak	20%	Overal LOS is accetpable, however may LOS E/F movements.					
Partial Displaced LT N-S (<u>6-lane LWD</u> , 6-lane GMR)	LOS C/LOS C	2 - AM Peak 2 - PM Peak	55%	Large intersction size and would require rework of nearby Lake Woodland Drive signals.					
Median U-Turn E-W (<u>6-Iane LWD</u> , 6-Iane GMR)	LOS C/LOS D	4 - AM Peak 4 - PM Peak	20%	Highest delay of at-grade. Left-turns operate at LOS E/F.					
Diamond N-S (4-lane LWD, 6-lane GMR)	LOS C/LOS C	0 - AM Peak 0 - PM Peak	40%	Additional lanes on GMR provide 5% more residual capacity.					
Single Point N-S (4-lane LWD, 4-lane GMR)	LOS C/LOS C	0 - AM Peak 0 - PM Peak	35%	Similar operations with smaller footprint vs. tight diamond.					

Poor	
Good	
Best	